The New York City Water Board: Request for Proposals for Financial Advisor
Addendum #1
Responses to Inquiries

1. Does a list of the "System" assets ranging from Upstate reservoirs to in-City wastewater treatment plants exist?

   An overview of the System can be found in the most recent Official Statement released by the NYC Municipal Water Finance Authority in the section titled "The System".

   http://nycbonds.org/NYW/html/nyw_disclaimer.html

2. Were RFEI responders limited to "private developers"?
3. How many responses will need to be evaluated from the Upstate Hydroelectricity and Wards Island RFEIs? Have any of the submitters made their responses public, or will the DEP make any of them available for viewing before February 25?
4. Can the bidders of the Wards Island RFEI and the Upstate Hydroelectric RFEI be disclosed? To properly clear conflicts, we would be willing to sign a confidentiality agreement.

   The RFEI responders were not limited to private developers. Fourteen responses were received to the Wards Island RFEI and seven to the hydroelectric RFEI. Several responses received were incomplete and, thus, will not require a full review. There are no plans to distribute the responses.

   Wards Island expressions of interest from:
   Ameresco
   Aqueous Advisors
   CDM
   Corix Utilities Inc.
   Energenic
   Genesys
   Green Campus Partners, LLC
   Ibasco
   Lime Energy Co.
   Noresco
   NRG Thermal LLC
   Synagro
   UTS BioEnergy
   Veolia Energy

   Hydroelectric Expressions of interest from:
   Brookfield Renewable Power Inc.
   CH2M Hill
   Eagle Creek Renewable Energy
   Free Flow Power Corporation
   New York Power Authority
   Rentricity Inc.
   Skanska

5. Who are the other external and internal advisors expected to work on these projects (counsel, engineer, etc.)?
6. This RFP is for Financial Advisory Services; Do you intend to separately procure technical (engineering) and/or legal services in relation to P3 opportunities, preparation of RFPs, evaluation of proposals submitted by developers and/or financers, or other items indicated on page 2 of the RFP, Request for Proposals Summary? Or is it your assumption that the proposers for Financial Advisory Services will include the technical and/or legal expertise on their team?
7. Is the District working with any other technical/engineering/energy/water consultants?

   For the projects, DEP has retained engineering and feasibility consultants. DEP is also working with or plans to retain outside counsel for the projects considered.

8. How can we estimate size and value of the Wards Island Energy System and the Upstate Hydroelectric Generation Opportunity?

   We expect the generation capacity of the Wards Island cogeneration development to be approximately 10-30 MW. The generation capacity of the upstate hydroelectric is approximately 15 MW.

9. Do you have thoughts on who could take the "developed hydropower"?

   Some preliminary thoughts related to the developed hydropower are for the City to sign a Power Purchase Agreement, sell power into the wholesale power market or negotiate with a third party (e.g., a New York State agency or investor-owned utility) for the sale of the power.

10. Is the advisor expected to manage the procurement process or just to support the Board and its other advisors in managing the procurement process (in terms of drafting RFQs, RFPs, evaluating submissions, negotiating, etc.)?

    The advisor will be expected to support DEP and other parties in the evaluation and procurement processes, as requested. The advisor could be asked to co-manage transaction negotiations with legal counsel.

11. Will advising the Water Board on this contract put the advisor in conflict or make them ineligible to advise the NYC Municipal Water Finance Authority or NYC itself?

    We do not expect service to the Water Board on this contract to put the advisor in conflict with or make the firm ineligible to advise the NYC Municipal Water Finance Authority or NYC itself.

12. In assessing the time to be billed on this project, what other consulting arrangements will the Water Board use as comparisons? For instance, how should the time for this project compare with the time for Appleseed's assessments of DEP impacts on the watershed?

    No other consulting arrangements will be used as a comparison for the time involved in this engagement as there is no comparable precedent.